

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,403	02/08/2002	Klein A. Rodrigues	2004.ALC	4788
7590 08/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas F. Roland			DELCOTTO, GREGORY R	
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY P.O. Box 6500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action    10072_403		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner    Feagury R. Del Cotto	Advisory Action	10/072,403	RODRIGUES, KLEIN A.				
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no coret, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Only OrlECK 118 BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 30 or (2) of the Control of Appeal (with 30 CFR 1.136). The state on which the patition under 30 CFR 1.136) and the appointance statement of the softened statement of the fill of the Appeal of the Appeal (with appeal of the Appeal of the Appeal of the State of Appeal was filled on Appellant's Brief must be filled within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. \( \text{The proposed amendment(s)} \) will not be entered because:  (a) \( \text{Monthment} \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) \( \text{Monthment} \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet  Applicant's reply has	Authory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely fried amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed shorter of the proper of the condition of allowance; (2) a timely filed shorter of the condition of allowance; (2) a timely filed shorter of the condition of allowance; (2) a timely filed shorter of the condition of allowance; (2) a timely filed shorter of the condition of the conditio		Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may apply be either: (1) a timely field amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.    PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b]   The period for reply expires on: (1) the malling date of the Afridary Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is letter. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and gate of this Advancy Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is letter. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the Afridary Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is letter. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOW WHIEN THE RITER TREET WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(1).    Expired For Six (1)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a)  The period for reply expires  months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CONTROL OF THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP THE SHORT REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See Continuation Sheet The Final Properties of Appeals and Final Properties of Appeals was f	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued						
<ul> <li>b) ∑ The period for regly expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with set statutor period for regly expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> <li>be the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee that the period of the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (showe); for a potential period of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. ∑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) ∑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ∑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ∑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) they are not deemed to place the application in in the ferror form for appeal by materially rejected claims.  NOTE: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection has bee</li></ul>	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE IRSTR TEPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been fled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 10 places of the calcular for from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory pending yes in the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any search plant time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(a) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Shee!  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  NOTE: See Continuation Shee!  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The all affidavit, by exhibit, or cylinequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  The drawing correction filed on is all approved or by disappr							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply set in the statutory period for the statutory	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment application in condition for allowance because: would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment application in condition for allowance because: would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment application in condition for allowable claim(s) in condition for allowance because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Gregory R. Del Cotto							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Gregory R. Del Cotto	(a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):						
(c)							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.15-18 and 20-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Gregory R. Del Cotto	(c) 🛮 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
<ul> <li>3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li> <li>6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>7. ⋈ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ⋈ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</li> <li>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> <li>Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.15-18 and 20-25.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> <li>8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.</li> <li>9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> <li>10. ⋈ Other: See Continuation Sheet</li> </ul>							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.15-18 and 20-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Gregort R. Del Cotto							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.15-18 and 20-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  Gregory R. Del Cotto	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
application in condition for allowance because:  6.	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,15-18 and 20-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Gregory R. Del Cotto	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the				
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8.15-18 and 20-25</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Gregory R. Del Cotto	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.15-18 and 20-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other: See Continuation Sheet	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an work or appended.				
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,15-18 and 20-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other: See Continuation Sheet	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,15-18 and 20-25</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Gregory R. Del Cotto	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other: See Continuation Sheet	Claim(s) objected to:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other: See Continuation Sheet  Gregory R. Del Cotto	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,15-18 and 20-25</u> .						
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Other: See Continuation Sheet  Gregory R. Del Cotto							
0. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Gregory R. Del Cotto	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
0. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Gregory R. Del Cotto	9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
	_		Primary\Examiner				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) \$40/072,403

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's newly submitted amendment raises issues not previously presented which would require further consideration and/or search and also raise the issue of new matter. For example, the language "free of nitrogen linkages linked to the polymer backbone in the side chains" raises the issue of new matter and would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 10. Other: The rejection(s) as set forth in the Office Action mailed 4/23/04 have been maintained for the reasons of record.